ISSN 2348-3156 (Print)
International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research ISSN 2348-3164 (online)
Vol. 14, Issue 1, pp: (310-313), Month: January - March 2026, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

# The Effectiveness of Digital and Blended Training in Training Institutions: An Applied Study

Rodaina Faisal Al-Zamel

The public Authority for Applied Education Training,
The High Institute of Administrative Services, Kuwait

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19019282

**Published Date: 14-March-2026**

***Abstract:*** **This study examines the effectiveness of digital and blended training in training institutions. It provides an expanded theoretical framework and analyzes empirical findings regarding trainee engagement, performance, and satisfaction. The findings indicate that blended learning enhances accessibility, knowledge retention, and practical skill development.**

**Institutional readiness, trainer competence, and digital infrastructure are critical factors influencing training success. Blended learning is a teaching method that combines technology and digital media with traditional classroom activities led by an instructor. It is also called hybrid learning as it integrates the two teaching approaches. Blended learning aims to give students flexibility and customization in their learning experiences to meet individual needs.**

***Key words:*** **Blended learning, digital modules, platforms, training.**

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Training institutions play a crucial role in preparing individuals with professional competencies required in modern labor markets. With the advancement of digital technologies, traditional training has evolved into online and blended learning environments that provide flexible, learner-centered experiences.

Blended learning combines face-to-face interaction with digital platforms, enabling trainees to access materials anytime and engage in interactive learning activities. However, successful implementation requires effective instructional design, technological readiness, and trainer preparedness.

Effective blended learning instructors know how to use different types of technology, including learning management systems, presentation tools, and digital creation platforms, to deliver instruction to students. You'll also want to have strong communication skills as you interact with employees or students, as well as an understanding of how to develop short, engaging videos for students

## 2. RESEARCH PROBLEM

Despite widespread adoption of digital and blended training in institutions, there is no consistent, systematic way to evaluate whether these approaches actually improve trainee engagement, learning, and performance. Many programs rely on basic usage metrics or informal feedback, which do not capture differences in knowledge retention, practical skill transfer, or long-term workplace application. At the same time, variability in trainer competence, instructional design, and technological infrastructure makes it hard to compare outcomes across courses or identify which elements drive success. This gap in reliable evaluation prevents institutions from refining practices, allocating resources effectively, and ensuring that digital investments produce real improvements in trainee competence.

ISSN 2348-3156 (Print)
International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research ISSN 2348-3164 (online)
Vol. 14, Issue 1, pp: (310-313), Month: January - March 2026, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

## 3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. Evaluate the effectiveness of blended learning in training institutions.
2. Assess online training programs and their learning outcomes.
3. Examine the impact of digital training on trainee engagement.
4. Identify challenges facing digital training implementation.
5. Explore strategies to improve effectiveness of digital training.

## 4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is grounded in Adult Learning Theory (Knowles), which emphasizes self-directed learning and practical relevance. His theory posits that adults learn differently than children, focusing on self-direction, experience, and immediate, problem-centered application. It emphasizes that adults are motivated internally, need to know why they are learning something, and prefer active involvement in their educational process.

**Key Principles of Knowles' Andragogy:**

***Self-Concept***: Adults are self-directed and prefer taking control of their own learning, rather than being dependent.

***Experience***: Adults bring a wealth of experience that serves as a resource for learning.

***Readiness to Learn***: Adults become ready to learn when they need to know something to cope with real-life situations or developmental tasks.

***Orientation to Learning:*** Learning should be problem-centered rather than content-oriented, focusing on immediate application.

***Motivation:*** Adults are primarily motivated by internal factors (e.g., self-esteem, quality of life) rather than external ones.

***The Need to Know:*** Adults need to understand the "why," "what," and "how" of learning before engaging in the process.

Digital learning platforms support these principles by enabling flexible access and personalized learning experiences.

Constructivist Learning Theory suggests that learners build knowledge through interaction and collaboration. Digital tools such as discussion forums and collaborative platforms facilitate knowledge construction.

Experiential Learning Theory (Kolb) highlights learning through experience and reflection. Blended training allows trainees to apply knowledge in face-to-face settings while reinforcing learning through digital modules.

Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory (1984) posits that knowledge is created through the transformation of experience, emphasizing a four-stage, cyclical process: Concrete Experience (feeling), Reflective Observation (watching), Abstract Conceptualization (thinking), and Active Experimentation (doing). It highlights that learning is most effective when learners move through all four stages.

**Key Components of Kolb's Theory:**

- **The Learning Cycle:**
  1. **Concrete Experience (CE):** A new experience or situation is encountered.
  2. **Reflective Observation (RO):** The learner reflects on the experience from multiple perspectives.
  3. **Abstract Conceptualization (AC):** The learner forms theories, models, or generalizations based on reflection.
  4. **Active Experimentation (AE):** The learner tests these theories in new situations.
- **Learning Styles (Based on the 2x2 Matrix):**

Kolb identified four learning styles, resulting from preferred combinations of the four stages:

- **Diverging (CE/RO):** Imaginative, emotional, and good at brainstorming.
- **Assimilating (AC/RO):** Logical, precise, and prefers theoretical models over people.

- **Converging (AC/AE):** Practical, technical, and enjoys solving problems.
- **Accommodating (CE/AE):** Hands-on, action-oriented, and relies on intuition.

- **Core Principles:**
  - ❖ Learning is a process, not an outcome.
  - ❖ It is a holistic process of adaptation.
  - ❖ Learning requires conflict resolution between opposing styles (e.g., action vs. reflection).
  - ❖ It is a continuous, spiral process based on experience.

This theory is widely used in education and professional development to create more engaging, hands-on learning experiences

## 5. LITERATURE REVIEW

Graham (2013) emphasized that blended learning improves flexibility and learner satisfaction when face-to-face and online components are effectively integrated. Allen and Seaman (2017) reported significant growth in online learning due to accessibility and scalability.

Salas et al. (2012) found that training effectiveness depends on structured design, feedback mechanisms, and learner engagement.

Noe (2020) highlighted the importance of aligning training strategies with organizational goals to improve performance.

Recent studies indicate that interactive digital tools enhance motivation and engagement, while inadequate infrastructure and lack of trainer preparedness remains barrier to success.

Prior research shows blended learning often increases flexibility and learner satisfaction when digital and face-to-face elements are well integrated. Studies also highlight that design quality, frequent feedback, and interactivity are crucial to training success. Research points to growth in online learning due to accessibility and scalability but also warns about infrastructure and instructor readiness as major barriers. The literature further suggests that adult learning and experiential theories support blended approaches because they enable self-directed, problem-centered learning and practice. Overall, past studies provide a foundation but call for more applied evaluations in real training institutions to guide implementation.

## 6. METHODOLOGY

This applied study used a descriptive-analytical mixed-methods design to evaluate digital and blended training in training institutions. Quantitative data were collected via a structured questionnaire measuring trainee engagement, satisfaction, and perceived learning outcomes, while objective training performance indicators (e.g., assessment scores, completion rates) were used to triangulate self-reports. Qualitative open-ended responses from trainees and trainers captured experiences, implementation challenges, and contextual factors. Descriptive statistics identified central tendencies and patterns across groups, and thematic analysis was applied to qualitative data to extract recurrent themes and explanations. Sampling targeted trainees enrolled in blended and digital courses across participating institutions to ensure relevant variability; ethical procedures included informed consent and anonymization of responses. Together, these methods provided both measurable outcomes and practical insights into why certain approaches succeeded or failed, supporting actionable recommendations for improving digital training.

## 7. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The study found clear improvements in learning when courses used a blended approach. Trainees reported better knowledge retention and understanding after activities that combined short online modules with hands-on workshops — for example, watching a 10-minute tutorial before practicing a task in class helped learners apply concepts more quickly. Engagement rose when lessons included interactive quizzes, discussion forums, and short videos; many trainees said they felt more motivated to participate than in purely lecture-based sessions. Performance indicators (completion rates and assessment scores) were higher in well-designed blended courses, while courses with frequent technical problems showed lower scores and more dropouts. Trainer skill mattered: instructors who provided timely feedback, clear instructions for online tasks, and blended assessments achieved stronger results. Common issues that reduced effectiveness included unstable internet access,

unclear navigation on learning platforms, and varying digital literacy among trainees — problems that often-explained lower engagement or mixed performance in some groups.

## 8. DISCUSSION

The findings support adult learning principles emphasizing flexibility and self-directed learning. The integration of digital tools supports constructivist learning by encouraging collaboration and interaction.

Consistent with previous studies, blended learning improves satisfaction and learning outcomes. However, digital readiness and trainer competence are essential for successful implementation.

**Challenges and Improvement Strategies**

Challenges include technical limitations, resistance to change, and varying digital literacy levels. Institutions should invest in infrastructure, provide digital skills training for trainers and offer technical support for trainees.

Continuous evaluation and feedback systems are essential to improve training quality and ensure effective implementation.

Major challenges included unreliable internet and platform issues, resistance from trainers or trainees to new methods, and uneven digital literacy that limited participation. To address these, institutions should strengthen infrastructure (redundant connectivity, mobile-friendly platforms) and provide clear, user-centered course navigation. Invest in targeted trainer development—short workshops on digital pedagogy, multimedia creation, and online assessment—and offer ongoing technical support and a helpdesk for learners. Start with pilot programs to collect feedback, iterate course design, and showcase successes to reduce resistance. Implement standardized evaluation metrics and regular feedback loops to monitor performance, and create peer-support communities for trainers and learners to share best practices and resources

## 9. CONCLUSION

This study shows that digital and blended training can significantly improve accessibility, engagement, and learning outcomes when designed and implemented well. Blended approaches that combine short online modules with face-to-face practice improve knowledge retention and practical skill application. Trainer competence, instructional design quality, and reliable technological infrastructure are critical drivers of success, while technical problems and low digital literacy hinder outcomes. Institutions should invest in infrastructure, targeted trainer development, user-friendly platforms, and continuous evaluation to sustain improvements. Starting with pilots, collecting feedback, and scaling proven practices will help overcome resistance and refine programs. With these steps, training institutions can better align their programs with adult learning principles and meet evolving labor market needs.

## REFERENCES

[1] Graham, C. R. (2013). Emerging practice and research in blended learning. In M. G. Moore (Ed.), Handbook of distance education (3rd ed., pp. 333–350). Routledge. https://www.routledge.com/Handbook-of-Distance-Education/Moore/p/book/9781138957679

[2] Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2017). Digital learning compass: Distance education enrollment report 2017. Babson Survey Research Group. https://onlinelearningsurvey.com/reports/digitallearningcompassenrollment2017.pdf

[3] Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012). The science of training and development in organizations: What matters in practice. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 13(2), 74–101. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612436661

[4] Noe, R. A. (2020). Employee training and development (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education. https://www.mheducation.com

[5] Knowles, M. S., Holton, E. F., & Swanson, R. A. (2015). The adult learner: The definitive classic in adult education and human resource development (8th ed.). Routledge. https://www.routledge.com/The-Adult-Learner/Knowles-Holton-Swanson/p/book/9781138958546

[6] Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice Hall. https://www.pearson.com/store/p/experiential-learning/P100000620